## EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 1 | Attorney General of the State of California TOM GREENE Chief Assistant Attorney General MARY HACKENBRACHT Senior Assistant Attorney General JOHN DAVIDSON Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIFFANY YEE, State Bar No. 197861 5 Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 6 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 7 Telephone: (415) 703-5591 Fax: (415) 703-5480 8 Email: Tiffany.Yee@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiffs People of the State of California and California Air Resources Control Board 10 11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 15 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA and Case No. C 06-07426 MHP PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES [PROPOSED] STIPULATION 16 TO REMAND CONTROL BOARD, 17 Plaintiffs. 18 V. 19 MICHAEL ROUSE and GREGORY ROUSE, dba 20 BIG MIKE'S CHOPPERS, and DOES 1-100, Defendants. 21 22 23 This Stipulation is made by and among THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. THE CALIFORNIA 24 25 AIR RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD (collectively "Plainitffs"), on the one hand, and Michael Rouse and Gregory Rouse as individuals and dba Big Mike's Choppers (collectively 26 27 "Defendants"), on the other hand. 28 Stipulation for Remand; Case No. C 06-07426 MHP

Casca 3:e06:06-074226426HMHPD obcouraements 7 FFileed 0011/12262007Packa 4:e0f13of3

## Cascase06:06-0742264124HPHPDocumentrist 7 Filled 10011/1128/20007Pagrage023of 3

RECITALS 1 A. On September 21, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint for violations of the California 2 Health and Safety Code and the California Business and Professions Code in the Superior Court 3 of the State of California, County of Contra Costa against the Defendants in the matter entitled 4 People of the State of California and People of the State of California ex rel. the California Air 5 Resources Control Board v. Michael Rouse, Gregory Rouse, dba Big Mike's Choppers and 6 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Case No. C06-02027 ("Plaintiffs' Action"). 7 B. On or about December 4, 2006, Defendants filed a Notice of Removal in this Court 8 based on diversity jurisdiction. 9 C. Plaintiffs consist of the State of California and an agency of the State of California. 10 11 **TERMS** 12 THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants, through their counsel, stipulate as follows: 13 Plaintiffs' Action shall be remanded to state court by order of this Court. The remand 14 shall become effective upon entry of the Court's order. 15 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 16 17 DATED: January 12, 2007 18 Tiffany Yee, Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office 19 Counsel for Plaintiffs 20 DATED: January /1, 2007 21 Craig Moody Moody & Hill 22 Dated: 1/16/07 Counsel for Defendants 23 The Clerk of Court shall transmit a certified copy of this order to the Clerk of the 24 Superior Court of Contra Costa County, State of California, forthwith. 25 26 IS SO ORDEREI 27 28 Judge Marilyn H. Patel Stipulation for Remand; Case No. C 06-07426 MHP

DISTRIC

## Cascase06:06-07422641246-1177HPD & Trileed 10011/1128/20007Page agents 3 **ORDER** PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: JUDGE MARILYN HALL PATEL 40123198.wpd SF2006402164 Stipulation for Remand; Case No. C 06-07426 MHP